**№** AO 120 (Rev. 2/99)

TO:

Mail Stop 8 Director of the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN **ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK**

filed in the U.S. Di	ů	15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action of the Patents			
DOCKET NO.	DATE FILED	U.S. DISTRICT COURT			
CV 11-00994 JCS	3/3/2011		450 Golden Gate Avenue, 16th Floor, San Francisco CA 94102		
PLAINTIFF JAMES T. GAVIN, E	T AL	DEFENDANT QUABBIN INC., ET AL	,		
PATENT OR	DATE OF PATE	NT HOLDER OF PATENT OR	TRADEMARK		
15,150,651		***See Attach Comp	***See Attach Complaint***		
2					
3					
4					
5					
		he following patent(s) have been included:			
DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY_	Amendment ☐ Answer ☐ Cross Bill			
		Amendment	☐ Other Pleading		
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDED OF PATENT OF T			
	DATE OF PATENT	HOLDED OF PATENT OF T			
TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT	HOLDED OF PATENT OF T			
TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT	HOLDED OF PATENT OF T			
TRADEMARK NO.  1 2	DATE OF PATENT	HOLDED OF PATENT OF T			
TRADEMARK NO.  1  2  3	DATE OF PATENT	HOLDED OF PATENT OF T	······································		
TRADEMARK NO.  1 2 3 4 5	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDED OF PATENT OF T	······································		
TRADEMARK NO.  1 2 3 4 5	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TO			
TRADEMARK NO.  1 2 3 4 5	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK  e—entitled case, the follow	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TO			

GREGORY S. WALSTON, State Bar No. 196776 ORESTES A. CROSS, State Bar No. 250471 2 WALSTON CROSS, P.C. 735 Montgomery Street, Suite 250 San Francisco, California 94133 3 Telephone: (415) 956-9200 4 Facsimile: (415) 956-9205 Email: gwalston@walstonlaw.com 5 ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS 6 JAMES T. GAVIN **CARLOS FLORES** 7 8 9 10 11 Plaintiff. 12 v. 13 QUABBIN INC., a corporation; ALL 14 PRINTING RESOURCES INC., a 15 LTD., a corporation. 16 Defendants. 17 18 19 20 1. 21 22 23 24 2. 25 26 from his place of residence. 27 28



# E-filing

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMES T. GAVIN and CARLOS FLORES.

corporation; and PRINT TECH SOLUTIONS

#### PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT: **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

ECF DOCUMENT

I hereby attest and certify this is a printest copy of a document which was electronically filed with the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

Date Filed:\_

RICHARD W. WIEKI

AGUSTINE , Deputy Clerk

Plaintiffs JAMES T. GAVIN and CARLOS FLORES allege as follows:

#### INTRODUCTION

This is an action in law and equity for patent infringement arising out of Defendants infringement of Plaintiffs' U.S. Patent No. 5,150,651 ("the 5,150,651 Patent"), in violation of the Patent Laws of the United States, as set forth in 35 U.S.C.§§ 271 and 281-285.

#### **PARTIES**

Plaintiff JAMES T. GAVIN (hereinafter "Plaintiff GAVIN") is a natural person residing in the State of California, County of Contra Costa, who lawfully operates a business

- 3. Plaintiff CARLOS FLORES (hereinafter "Plaintiff FLORES") is a natural person residing in the State of California, County of San Francisco.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant QUABBIN INC. (hereinafter "Defendant QUABBIN") is a corporation, having its address and principal place of business at 61 East River St., Orange, MA 01364.
- 5. Upon information and belief, Defendant ALL PRINTING RESOURCES, INC. (hereinafter "Defendant ALL PRINTING") is a corporation, having its address and principal place of business at 140 W. Lake Dr., Glendale Heights, IL 60139.
- 6. Upon information and belief, Defendant PRINT TECH SOLUTIONS LTD. (hereinafter "Defendant PRINT TECH") is a corporation, having its address and principal place of business abroad.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338.
- 8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant QUABBIN upon information and belief, Defendant QUABBIN: (1) regularly solicits business in California; (2) has advertised, offered to sell, and has sold a product in California that infringes on one or more claims of the 5,150,651 Patent in this judicial district and elsewhere; and (3) willfully infringed on Plaintiffs 5,150,651 Patent, in which Defendant QUABBIN knew or should have known Plaintiffs reside in California and Defendant QUABBIN knew or should have known the brunt of Plaintiffs harm would be suffered in California.
- 9. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant ALL PRINTING upon information and belief, Defendant ALL PRINTING (1) regularly solicits business in California; (2) has advertised, offered to sell, and has sold a product in California that infringes on one or more claims of the 5,150,651 Patent in this judicial district and elsewhere; and (3) willfully infringed on the 5,150,651 Patent, in which Defendant ALL PRINTING knew or should have known Plaintiffs reside in California and Defendant ALL PRINTING knew or should have known the brunt of Plaintiffs harm would be suffered in California.

- 10. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant PRINT TECH upon information and belief, Defendant PRINT TECH: (1) regularly solicits business in California; (2) 2 has advertised, offered to sell, and has sold a product in California that infringes on one or more 3 claims of the 5,150,651 Patent in this judicial district and elsewhere; and (3) willfully infringed 4 on the 5,150,651 Patent, in which Defendant PRINT TECH knew or should have known 5 Plaintiffs reside in California and Defendant PRINT TECH knew or should have known the brunt of Plaintiffs harm would be suffered in California. 7
  - Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b). 11.

## FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

## AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

## **INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,150,651**

- 12. Plaintiff FLORES is the inventor of the 5,150,651 Patent and owns a partnership interest in and to an improved doctor-blade assembly for a flexographic press having an ink metering roller. The improved doctor-blade assembly includes an ink fountain having elastomer seals to improve end sealing of the ink fountain and to improve division of the fountain into discrete sections without cross bleed of different inks, and in which the assembly includes protective guard boots on an actuating mechanism that displaces the ink fountain into operating position with an ink metering roller to prevent crusting of ink in the actuating mechanism, affecting alignment of the assembly in the operating position.
- 13. Plaintiff GAVIN owns a partnership interest in and to an improved doctor-blade assembly for a flexographic press having an ink metering roller. The improved doctor-blade assembly includes an ink fountain having elastomer seals to improve end sealing of the ink fountain and to improve division of the fountain into discrete sections without cross bleed of different inks, and in which the assembly includes protective guard boots on an actuating mechanism that displaces the ink fountain into operating position with an ink metering roller to prevent crusting of ink in the actuating mechanism, affecting alignment of the assembly in the operating position.

28

1

6

8

q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Q

- 14. Upon information and belief, the Ink Seals manufactured, marketed, used, sold and/ or offered for sale by Defendant QUABBIN, in the United States infringes one or more claims of the 5,150,651 Patent. Defendant QUABBIN also infringed on the 5,150,651 Patent by knowingly and actively inducing others to infringe through the sale and use of the 5,150,651 Patent.
- 15. Upon information and belief, the End Seals manufactured, marketed, used, sold, and/ or offered for sale by Defendant ALL PRINTING, in the United States infringes one or more claims of the 5,150,651 Patent. Defendant ALL PRINTING also infringed on the 5,150,651 Patent by knowingly and actively inducing others to infringe through the sale and use of the 5,150,651 Patent.
- 16. Upon information and belief, the Chamber End Seals manufactured, marketed, used, sold, and/ or offered for sale by Defendant PRINT TECH, in the United States infringes one or more claims of the 5,150,651 Patent. Defendant PRINT TECH also infringed on the 5,150,651 Patent by knowingly and actively inducing others to infringe through the sale and use of the 5,150,651 Patent.
- 17. Upon information and belief, Defendant QUABBIN has, therefore, willfully, deliberately and wantonly engaged in acts of infringement of the 5,150,651 Patent and such acts of infringement causing irreparable harm will continue, with no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court according to 35 U.S.C. § 283.
- 18. Upon information and belief, Defendant ALL PRINTING has, therefore, willfully, deliberately and wantonly engaged in acts of infringement of the 5,150,651 Patent and such acts of infringement causing irreparable harm will continue, with no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court according to 35 U.S.C. § 283.
- 19. Upon information and belief, Defendant PRINT TECH has, therefore, willfully, deliberately and wantonly engaged in acts of infringement of the 5,150,651 Patent and such acts of infringement causing irreparable harm will continue, with no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court according to 35 U.S.C. § 283.

1			
2	,		inf
3			28
4	.		
5			
6			
7			
8			
9		١	
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			Dat
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

20. Upon information and belief, Defendants knowingly, willfully, and wantonly infringed the 5,150,651 Patent, entitling Plaintiffs to increased damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, and attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this action pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment as follows:

- 1. For an award of compensatory damages in an amount to be proven at trial;
- 2. For a preliminary and permanent injunction enjoining further infringement and inducement of the 5,150,651 Patent;
- 3. For an award of enhanced damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- 4. A finding that this case is exceptional and an award to Plaintiffs of their attorneys' fees and costs as provided under 35 U.S.C. § 285; and
- 5. For all other relief the Court deems just and proper.

#### **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

# Plaintiff demands trial by jury.

Pated: February [1], 2011 Respectfully Submitted,

WALSTON CROSS, Attorneys

By: Gregory S. Walston

Attorney for Plaintiff